

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,622	07/05/2007	Lorrene Bayon	979-253	3107	
39600 7590 11/06/2009 SOFER & HAROUN LLP. 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910			EXAMINER		
			MOORE, MARGARET G		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Margaret G. Moore	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Anv	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATASISES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The communication of the communication	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	igust 2009.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 to 20 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 12 to 17, 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3 to 11, 18, 19 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
,-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Hormattion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CB) Paper No(s) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Netice of Informal Fatert Application. 6) Other:	
U CONTRACTOR TO CONTRACTOR CONTRA		_

Application/Control Number: 10/591,622

Art Unit: 1796

 Applicants' response dated 8/10/09 has been entered and considered. In view of applicants' remarks the Examiner has withdrawn the previous prior art rejection. A new ground of rejection, below, is being made. As such this action cannot be made final.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

 Claims 1, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitchnick et al.

Mitchnick et al. teach conductive polymers containing zinc oxide particles. The zinc oxide particles can be undoped (see the bottom of column 3). See also column 12, line 4 and on, which prepares a zinc oxide from 99.81% pure ZnCl. This meets the claimed requirement of less than 3% by wt. of metal oxide traces. This also meets the requirement of a homogeneous powder. In this manner claim 1 is anticipated by the teachings of Mitchnick et al.

The properties of claims 12 and 20 are properties that will be inherently associated with the claimed material. Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. If applicants are of the position that the prior art does not, in fact, possess the same properties as the claimed composition, the claimed composition should be amended to distinguish itself from the prior art.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the Application/Control Number: 10/591,622

Art Unit: 1796

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2 and 13 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitchnick et al.

Mitchnick et al. don't specifically teach a less than 99.8 wt% pure zinc oxide, but as noted above column 12 teaches the preparation of zinc oxide from a 99.81% pure ZnCl. From this one would expect that the final zinc oxide will have a purity of about 99.81%. A prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. In this manner claim 2 is rendered obvious.

For claims 13 to 17, the Examiner notes that Mitchnick et al. do not specifically teach these products but indicates that the compositions therein can be used in devices that accumulate static electrical charges. See the bottom of column 1 through column 2. As such the skilled artisan would have found any of these claimed devices to have been an obvious use of the composition of Mitchnick et al., taking advantage of the benefits and properties thereof. See also column 7, lines 35 and on.

- 6. Claims 3 to 1118 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday to Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

mgm 11/4/09